The Force.com Multitenant Architecture
Understanding the Design of Salesforce.com’s Internet Application Development Platform
# Contents

Abstract .................................................................................................................................................. 2  
Introduction ............................................................................................................................................ 2  
Multitenant Applications ........................................................................................................................ 2  
Comparing Raw Cloud Computing and PaaS ......................................................................................... 3  
Metadata-Driven Architectures .............................................................................................................. 3  
New Challenges and Emerging Solutions ................................................................................................ 4  
Force.com Platform Architecture Overview ............................................................................................ 4  
Force.com Data Definition and Storage .................................................................................................... 5  
The Objects Metadata Table ....................................................................................................................... 5  
The Fields Metadata Table .......................................................................................................................... 5  
The Data Table ............................................................................................................................................. 5  
The Clobs Table ............................................................................................................................................ 6  
The Indexes Pivot Table .............................................................................................................................. 6  
The UniqueFields Pivot Table ..................................................................................................................... 7  
The Relationships Pivot Table ...................................................................................................................... 7  
The FallbackIndex Table ............................................................................................................................ 7  
The NameDenorm Table ............................................................................................................................. 7  
History Tracking Table ............................................................................................................................... 7  
Partitioning of Data and Metadata ............................................................................................................ 8  
Application Development, Logic, and Processing .................................................................................... 8  
The Application Framework ....................................................................................................................... 8  
Metadata and Web Services APIs .............................................................................................................. 9  
Bulk Processing with API Calls .................................................................................................................. 9  
Deletes, Undeletes, and The Recycle Bin ..................................................................................................... 10  
Data Definition Processing ....................................................................................................................... 10  
Internal Query Optimizations .................................................................................................................... 11  
Force.com Full-Text Search Engine .......................................................................................................... 11  
Apex ...................................................................................................................................................... 12  
Historical Statistics .................................................................................................................................. 13  
Conclusions ........................................................................................................................................... 14
Abstract
Force.com is the preeminent on-demand application development platform in use today, supporting some 47,000+ organizations. Individual enterprises and commercial software-as-a-service (SaaS) vendors trust the platform to deliver robust, reliable, Internet-scale applications. To meet the extreme demands of its large user population, Force.com’s foundation is a metadata-driven software architecture that enables multitenant applications. This paper explains the patented technology that makes the Force.com platform fast, scalable, and secure for any type of application.

Introduction
History has shown that every so often, incremental advances in technology and changes in business models create major paradigm shifts in the way software applications are designed, built, and delivered to end users. The invention of personal computers (PCs), computer networking and graphical user interfaces (UIs) gave rise to the adoption of client/server applications over expensive, inflexible, character-mode mainframe applications. And today, reliable broadband Internet access, service-oriented architectures (SOAs), and the cost inefficiencies of managing dedicated on-premises applications are driving a transition toward the delivery of decomposable, managed, shared, Web-based services called software as a service (SaaS).

With every paradigm shift comes a new set of technical challenges, and SaaS is no different. Yet existing application frameworks are not designed to address the special needs of SaaS. This void has given rise to another new paradigm shift, namely platform as a service (PaaS). Hosted application platforms are managed environments specifically designed to meet the unique challenges of building SaaS applications and deliver them more cost-efficiently than ever before.

The focus of this paper is multitenancy, a fundamental design approach that can dramatically help improve the manageability of SaaS applications. This paper defines multitenancy, explains the benefits of multitenancy, and demonstrates why metadata-driven architectures are the premier choice for implementing multitenancy. After these general introductions, the bulk of this paper explains the technical design of Force.com, the world’s first PaaS, which delivers turnkey multitenancy for Internet-scale applications. The paper details Force.com’s patented metadata-driven architecture components to provide an understanding of the features used to deliver reliable, secure, and scalable multitenant applications.

Multitenant Applications
To decrease the cost of delivering the same application to many different sets of users, an increasing number of applications are multitenant rather than single-tenant. Whereas a traditional single-tenant application requires a dedicated set of resources to fulfill the needs of just one organization, a multitenant application can satisfy the needs of multiple tenants (companies or departments within a company, etc.) using the hardware resources and staff needed to manage just a single software instance (Figure 1).

Tenants using a multitenant service operate in virtual isolation from one another: Organizations can use and customize an application as though they each have a separate instance, yet their data and customizations remain secure and insulated from the activity of all other tenants. The single application instance effectively morphs at runtime for any particular tenant at any given time.

Multitenancy is an architectural approach that pays dividends to both application providers and users. Operating just one application instance for multiple organizations yields tremendous economy of scale for the provider. Only one set of hardware resources is necessary to meet the needs of all users, a relatively small, experienced administrative staff can efficiently manage only one stack of software and hardware, and developers can build and support a single code base on just one platform (operating system, database, etc.) rather than many. The economics afforded by multitenancy allow the application provider to, in turn,
offer the service at a lower cost to customers. Everyone involved wins.

Some interesting side benefits of multitenancy are improved quality, user satisfaction, and customer retention. Unlike single-tenant applications, which are isolated silos deployed outside the reach of the application provider, a multitenant application is one large community that is hosted by the provider itself. This design shift lets the provider gather operational information from the collective user population (which queries respond slowly, what errors happen, etc.) and make frequent, incremental improvements to the service that benefit the entire user community at once.

Two additional benefits of a multitenant platform-based approach are collaboration and integration. Because all users run all applications in one space, it is easy to allow any user of any application varied access to specific sets of data. This capability greatly simplifies the effort necessary to integrate related applications and the data they manage.

Comparing Raw Cloud Computing and PaaS

Raw computing clouds are machine-centric services that provide on-demand infrastructure as a service (IaaS) for the deployment of applications. Such clouds provide little more than the computing power and storage capacity needed to execute virtual servers that comprise an application. Some SaaS vendors looking for a quick go-to-market strategy avoid the challenges of developing a true multitenant solution and choose to deliver single-tenant instances via IaaS.

Platform as a service (PaaS) such as Force.com is an application-centric approach that abstracts the concept of servers altogether. PaaS lets developers focus on core application development from day one and to deploy an application with the push of a button. The provider never needs to worry about multitenancy, high availability, load balancing, scalability, system backups, operating system patches and security, and other similar infrastructure-related concerns—all these services are delivered as the “S” in PaaS.

Metadata-Driven Architectures

Multitenancy is practical only when it can support applications that are reliable, customizable, upgradeable, secure, and fast. But how can a multitenant application allow each tenant to create custom extensions to standard data objects and entirely new custom data objects? How will tenant-specific data be kept secure in a shared database so one tenant can’t see another tenant’s data? How can one tenant customize the application’s interface and business logic in real time without affecting the functionality or availability of the application for all other tenants? How can the application’s code base be patched or upgraded without breaking tenant-specific customizations? And how will the application’s response time scale as tens of thousands of tenants subscribe to the service?

It’s difficult to create a statically compiled application executable that can meet these and other unique challenges of multitenancy. Inherently, a multitenant application must be dynamic in nature, or polymorphic, to fulfill the individual expectations of various tenants and their users.

For these reasons, multitenant application designs have evolved to use a runtime engine that generates application components from metadata—data about the application itself. In a well-defined metadata-driven architecture (Figure 2), there is a clear separation of the compiled runtime engine (kernel), application data, the metadata that describes the base functionality of an application, and the metadata that corresponds to each tenant’s data and customizations. These distinct boundaries make it possible to independently update the system kernel, modify the core application, or customize tenant-specific components, with virtually no risk of one affecting the others.

Figure 2: A metadata-driven application had clear separation between the runtime engine, data, common application metadata, and tenant-specific metadata.
New Challenges and Emerging Solutions

Attempting to weave multitenancy throughout the fabric of an application’s core logic and its underlying infrastructure is a complex undertaking. Building metadata-driven, multitenant applications from scratch without any prior experience is destined to be a time-consuming and error-prone effort. In the end, many would-be SaaS providers struggle to succeed in building multitenant applications and end up wasting valuable time that could have been spent focused on the innovation of core application functionality and features.

One problem is that traditional application development frameworks and platforms are not equipped to handle the special needs of modern Internet applications. As a result, new types of platforms are emerging to help simplify the development and deployment of multitenant applications.

Force.com is the first and most mature general-purpose, multitenant, Internet application development platform available today. The remaining sections of this paper explain specific details about the technical design of Force.com so you can better understand its capabilities.

Force.com Platform Architecture Overview

Force.com’s optimized metadata-driven architecture delivers extraordinary performance, scalability, and customization for on-demand, multitenant applications (Figure 3).

In Force.com, everything exposed to developers and application users is internally represented as metadata. Forms, reports, work flows, user access privileges, tenant-specific customizations and business logic, even the definitions of underlying data tables and indexes, are all abstract constructs that exist merely as metadata in Force.com’s Universal Data Dictionary (UDD). For example, when a developer is building a new custom application and defines a custom table, lays out a form, or writes some procedural code, Force.com does not create an “actual” table in a database or compile any code. Instead, Force.com simply stores metadata that the platform’s engine can use to generate the “virtual” application components at runtime.

When someone wants to modify or customize something about the application, all that’s required is a simple non-blocking update to the corresponding metadata.

Because metadata is a key ingredient of Force.com applications, the platform’s runtime engine must optimize access to metadata; otherwise, frequent metadata access would prevent the platform from scaling. With this potential bottleneck in mind, Force.com uses metadata caches to maintain the most recently used metadata in memory, avoid performance sapping disk I/O and code recompilations, and improve application response times.

Force.com stores the application data for all virtual tables in a few large database tables that serve as heap storage. The platform’s engine then materializes virtual table data at runtime by considering corresponding metadata.

To optimize access to data in the system’s large tables, Force.com’s engine relies on a set of specialized pivot tables that maintain denormalized data for various purposes such as indexing, uniqueness, relationships, etc.

Force.com’s data processing engine helps streamline the overhead of large data loads and online transaction processing applications by transparently performing data modification operations in bulk. The engine has built-in fault recovery mechanisms that automatically retry bulk save operations after factoring out records that cause errors.

To further hone application response times, the platform employs an external search service that optimizes full-text indexing and searches. As applications update data, the search service’s background processes asynchronously update tenant- and user-specific indexes in near real time. This separation of duties between the application engine and the search service lets platform applications efficiently process transactions without the overhead of text index...
updates, and at the same time quickly provide users with accurate search results.

As Force.com’s runtime application generator dynamically builds applications in response to specific user requests, the engine relies heavily on its “multitenant-aware” query optimizer to execute internal operations as efficiently as possible. The query optimizer considers which user is executing a given application function, and then, using related tenant-specific metadata maintained in the UDD along with internal system pivot tables, builds and executes data access operations as optimized database queries. Now that you have a general idea of the key architecture components that make up the underlying mechanisms of Force.com, the following sections explain the structure and purpose of various internal system elements in more detail.

**Force.com Data Definition and Storage**

Rather than attempting to manage a vast, ever-changing set of actual database structures on behalf of each application and tenant, the Force.com storage model manages “virtual” database structures using a set of metadata, data, and pivot tables, as illustrated in Figure 4.

---

**Figure 4:** Force.com’s data definition and storage model consists of a set of metadata, data, and pivot tables that allow for functional access to the actual data of “virtual” tables.

---

When organizations create custom application objects (i.e., custom tables), the UDD keeps track of metadata concerning the objects, their fields, relationships, and other object definition characteristics. Meanwhile, a few large database tables store the structured and unstructured data for all virtual tables, and a set of related, specialized pivot tables maintain denormalized data that makes the combined data set extremely functional.

Figure 5 is a simplified entity-relationship (ER) diagram of three core Force.com metadata and data structures that enable this approach: the Objects, Fields, and Data tables.

**Note:** For brevity and clarity, the actual names of Force.com system tables and columns are not necessarily cited in this paper.

**The Objects Metadata Table**

The Objects metadata table stores information about the custom objects (a.k.a. tables or entities) that an organization defines for an application, including a unique identifier for an object (ObjID), the organization (OrgID) that owns the object, and the name given to the object (ObjName).

**The Fields Metadata Table**

The Fields metadata table stores information about the custom fields (a.k.a. columns or attributes) that an organization defines for custom objects, including a unique identifier for a field (FieldID), the organization (OrgID) that owns the encompassing object, the object that contains the field (ObjID), the name of the field (FieldName), the field’s datatype, a Boolean value to indicate if the field requires indexing (IsIndexed), and the position of the field in the object relative to other fields (FieldNum).

---

**Figure 5:** Force.com uses metadata in the Objects and Fields tables to define application object and fields and to map corresponding data stored in the large Data database table.

**The Data Table**

The Data table stores the application-accessible data that maps to all custom objects and their fields, as defined by metadata in Objects and Fields. Each row includes identifying fields such as a global unique identifier (GUID), the organization that owns the row (OrgID), and the encompassing object identifier (ObjID). Each row in the Data table also has a Name field that stores a “natural name” for corresponding object instances; for example, an Account object might use “Account Name,” a Case object might use “Case Number,” and
so on. The Value0 ... Value500 columns store application data that maps to the objects and fields declared in the Objects and Fields tables, respectively; all “flex” columns use a variable-length string datatype so that they can store any structured type of application data (strings, numbers, dates, etc.).

Custom fields can use any one of a number of standard structured datatypes such as text, number, date, and date/time as well as special use structured datatypes such as picklist (enumerated field), autonumber (auto-incremented, system-generated sequence number), formula (read-only derived value), master-detail relationship (foreign key), checkbox (Boolean), email, URL, and others. Custom fields can also be required (not null) and have custom validation rules (for example, one field must be greater than another field), both of which are enforced by the platform’s application server.

When an organization declares or modifies a custom application object, Force.com manages a row of metadata in the Objects table that defines the object. Likewise, for each custom field, Force.com manages a row in the Fields table, including metadata that maps the field to a specific flex column in the Data table for the storage of corresponding field data. Because Force.com manages object and field definitions as metadata rather than actual database structures, the platform can tolerate multitenant application schema maintenance activities without blocking the concurrent activity of other tenants and users.

No two fields of the same object can map to the same flex column (slot) in the Data table for storage; however, a single flex column can manage the information of multiple fields, as long as each field stems from a different object.

As the simplified representation of the Data table in Figure 6 shows, flex columns are of a universal datatype (variable-length string), which permits Force.com to share a single flex column among multiple fields that use various structured datatypes (strings, numbers, dates, etc.). Force.com stores all flex column data using a canonical format and uses underlying database system datatype-conversion functions (e.g., TO_NUMBER, TO_DATE, TO_CHAR), as necessary, when applications read data from and write data to flex columns.

Although not shown in Figure 5, the Data table also contains other columns. For example, there are four columns to manage auditing data, including when and which user created an object instance (row), and when and which user last modified an object instance. The Data table also contains an IsDeleted column that Force.com uses to indicate when an object instance has been deleted.

The Clobs Table
Force.com supports the declaration of fields as character large objects (CLOBs) to permit the storage of long text fields up to 32,000 characters. For each row in the Data table that has a CLOB, Force.com stores the CLOB out-of-line in a pivot table called Clobs, which the system can join with corresponding rows in the Data table as necessary.

Note: Force.com also stores CLOBs in indexed form outside the database for fast text searches. See Section 9 for more information about Force.com’s text search engine.

The Indexes Pivot Table
Traditional database systems rely on indexes to quickly locate specific rows in a database table that have fields matching a specific condition. However, it is not practical to create native database indexes for the flex columns of the Data table because Force.com is likely using a single flex column to store the data of many fields that have varying structured datatypes. Instead, Force.com manages an index of the Data table by synchronously copying field data marked for indexing to an appropriate column in a pivot table called Indexes, as depicted in a simplified ER diagram (Figure 7).

The Indexes table contains strongly typed, indexed columns such as StringValue, NumValue, and DateValue that Force.com uses to locate field data of the corresponding datatype. For example, Force.com would copy a string value in a Data table flex column to the StringValue field in Indexes, a date value to the DateValue field, etc. The underlying indexes of the Indexes table are standard non-unique database indexes. When an internal system query includes a search parameter that references a structured field in a custom object, the platform’s
query optimizer uses the Indexes table to help optimize associated data access operations.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7:** Force.com uses a pivot table to index data stored in flex columns.

**Note:** Force.com can handle searches across multiple languages because the platform’s application servers use a case-folding algorithm that converts string values to a universal, case-insensitive format. The StringValue column of the Indexes table stores string values in this format. At runtime, the query optimizer automatically builds data access operations so that the optimized SQL statement filters on the corresponding case-folded StringValue that corresponds to the literal provided in the search request.

The **UniqueFields Pivot Table**

Force.com lets an organization indicate when a field in an object must contain unique values (case-sensitive or case-insensitive). Considering the arrangement of the Data table and shared usage of the Value columns for custom field data, it is not practical to create unique database indexes for the table (similar to the problem discussed in the previous section for non-unique indexes).

To support uniqueness for custom fields, Force.com uses the pivot table called UniqueFields; this table is very similar to the Indexes pivot table except that the UniqueFields table’s underlying database indexes enforce uniqueness. When an application attempts to insert a duplicate value into a field that requires uniqueness, or an administrator attempts to enforce uniqueness on an existing field that contains duplicate values, Force.com relays an appropriate error message to the application.

The **Relationships Pivot Table**

Force.com provides “relationship” datatypes that an organization can use to declare relationships (referential integrity) among application objects. When an organization declares an object’s field with a relationship type, the platform maps the field to a Value field in the Data table, and then uses this field to store the ObjID of a related object.

To optimize join operations, Force.com maintains a pivot table called Relationships, as depicted in Figure 8.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8:** The Relationship table helps optimize object joins.

The Relationships index table has two underlying database unique composite indexes (OrgID+GUID, and OrgID+ObjID+RelationID+TargetObjID) that allow for efficient object traversals in either direction, as necessary.

The **FallbackIndex Table**

In rare circumstances, the platform’s external search engine can become overloaded or otherwise unavailable, and may not be able to respond to a search request in a timely manner. Rather than returning a disappointing error to a user that has requested a search, the platform’s application server falls back to a secondary search mechanism to furnish reasonable search results.

A fall-back search is implemented as a direct database query with search conditions that reference the Name field of target application objects. To optimize global object searches (searches that span objects) without having to execute potentially expensive union queries, Force.com maintains a pivot table called FallbackIndex that records the Name of all objects. Updates to FallbackIndex happen synchronously, as transactions modify objects, so that fall-back searches always have access to the most current database information.

The **NameDenorm Table**

The NameDenorm table is a lean data table that stores the ObjID and Name of each object instance that is in the Data table. When an application needs to provide a list of hyperlinks to object instances involved in a parent/child relationship, Force.com uses the NameDenorm table to execute a relatively simple query that retrieves the Name of each referenced object instance for display as part of a hyperlink.

The **History Tracking Table**

Force.com easily provides turnkey history tracking for any field. When an organization enables auditing for a specific field, the system asynchronously records information about the changes made to the field (old and new values, change date, etc.) using an internal pivot table as an audit trail.
Partitioning of Data and Metadata

All Force.com data, metadata, and pivot table structures, including underlying database indexes, are physically partitioned by OrgID (by tenant) using native database partitioning mechanisms. Data partitioning is a proven technique that database systems provide to physically divide large logical data structures into smaller, more manageable pieces. Partitioning can also help to improve the performance, scalability, and availability of a large database system such as a multitenant environment. For example, by definition, every Force.com application query targets a specific tenant’s information, so the query optimizer need only consider accessing data partitions that contain a tenant’s data rather than an entire table or index—this common optimization is sometimes referred to as “partition pruning.”

Application Development, Logic, and Processing

Force.com supports two different ways to create custom applications and their individual components: declaratively, using the native platform application framework, and programmatically, using application programming interfaces (APIs). The following sections explain more about each approach and related application development topics.

The Application Framework

Developers can declaratively build custom Force.com applications using the “native” Force.com application framework. The platform's native point-and-click interface supports all facets of the application development process, including the creation of an application's data model (custom objects and their fields, relationships, etc.), security and sharing model (users, organization hierarchies, profiles, etc.), user interface (screen layouts, data entry forms, reports, etc.), as well as logic and workflow.

Force.com application framework user interfaces are easy to build because there's no coding involved. Behind the scenes, they support all the usual data access operations, including queries, inserts, updates, and deletes. Each data manipulation operation performed by native platform applications can modify one object at a time, and automatically commit each change in a separate transaction.

Force.com's native integrated development environment (IDE) provides easy access to many built-in platform features that make it easy to implement common application functionality without writing complicated and error-prone code. Such features include declarative workflows, encrypted/masked fields, validation rules, formula fields, roll-up summary fields, and cross-object validation rules.

A workflow is a predefined action triggered by the insert or update of an object instance (row). A workflow can trigger a task, email alert, update a data field, or send a message. Workflow rules specify the criteria that determine when to trigger a workflow action. A workflow can be set to fire immediately or set to operate at a subsequent interval after the triggering event. For example, a developer might declare a workflow that, immediately after a record is updated, automatically updates the row's Status field to “Modified” and then sends a template email alert to a supervisor. All workflow operations occur within the context of the transaction that triggers the workflow. If the system rolls back a transaction, all related workflow operations that were executed also roll back.

When defining a text field for an object that contains sensitive data, developers can easily configure the field so that Force.com encrypts the corresponding data and optionally uses an input mask to hide screen information from prying eyes. Force.com encrypts fields using AES (Advanced Encryption Standard) algorithm 128-bit keys.

A declarative validation rule is a simple way for an organization to enforce a domain integrity rule without any programming. For example, the first screen capture in Figure 9 illustrates how easy it is to use the Force.com IDE to declare a validation rule that makes sure that a LineItem object's Quantity field is always greater than zero.

A formula field is a declarative feature of the Force.com application framework that makes it easy to add a calculated field to an object. For example, the second screen capture in Figure 9 also shows how a developer can use a simple IDE form to add a field to the LineItem object to calculate a LineTotal value.

A roll-up summary field is a cross-object field that makes it easy to aggregate child field information in a parent object. For example, the final screen capture in Figure 9 shows how to use the IDE to create an OrderTotal summary field in the SalesOrder object based on the LineTotal field of the LineItem object.

Note: Internally, Force.com implements formula and roll-up summary fields using
native database features and efficiently recalculates values synchronously as part of ongoing transactions.

**Metadata and Web Services APIs**
Force.com also provides programmatic APIs for building applications. These APIs are compatible with SOAP-based development environments, including Visual Studio .NET (C#) and Apache Axis (Java and C++).

Applications can leverage Force.com APIs to integrate with other environments. For example, applications can leverage APIs to access data in other systems, build mashups that combine information originating from multiple data sources, include external systems as part of an application process, or build fat clients to interact with the Force.com Platform database management system.

To access the Force.com Web service, developers first download a Web Service Description Language (WSDL) file. The development platform then uses the WSDL file to generate an API to access the organization’s corresponding Force.com Web service (data model).

There are two types of Force.com WSDL files. An Enterprise WSDL file is for developers who are building organization-specific applications. An Enterprise WSDL file is a strongly typed representation of an organization’s data model. It provides information about the organization’s schema, data types, and fields to the development environment, allowing for a tighter integration between it and the Force.com Web service. An Enterprise WSDL changes if custom fields or custom objects are added to, renamed, or removed from an organization’s application schema. In contrast, a Partner WSDL file is for salesforce.com partners that are developing client applications for multiple organizations. As a loosely typed representation of the Force.com object model, a Partner WSDL provides an API that is useful for accessing data within any organization.

**Bulk Processing with API Calls**
Transaction-intensive applications generate less overhead and perform much better when they combine and execute repetitive operations in bulk. For example, contrast two ways an application might load many new instances of an object. An inefficient approach would be to use a routine with loop that inserts individual object instances, making one API call for each insert operation. A much more efficient approach would be to create an array of object instances and have the routine insert all of them with a single API call.

Applicable Force.com Web Services API calls such as create(), update(), and delete() support bulk operations. For maximum efficiency, the platform implicitly bulk processes all internal steps related to an explicit bulk operation, as illustrated in Figure 10.
Figure 10: Force.com’s bulk processing engine executes each internal step related to a bulk operation as a bulk operation itself and automatically does a best effort to continue past rows that cause exceptions.

Figure 10 also illustrates the unique mechanisms of Force.com’s bulk processing engine that can account for isolated faults encountered during any step along the way. When a bulk operation starts in partial save mode, the engine identifies a known start state and then attempts to execute each step in the process (bulk validate field data, bulk fire pre-triggers, bulk save records, etc.). If the engine detects errors during any step, the engine rolls back offending operations and all side effects, removes the rows that are responsible for the faults, and continues, attempting to bulk process the remaining subset of rows. This process iterates through each stage of the process until the engine can commit a subset of rows without any errors. The application can examine a return object to identify which rows failed and what exceptions they raised.

**Note:** At the discretion of the application, an all-or-nothing mode is also available for bulk operations. Also, the execution of triggers during a bulk operation is subject to internal governors that restrict the amount of work.

**Deletes, Undeletes, and The Recycle Bin**

When someone deletes an individual object instance (record) from a custom object, Force.com simply marks the object instance for deletion by modifying the object instance’s IsDeleted field (in the Data table). This effectively places the object in what is known as the platform’s Recycle Bin. Force.com lets users view and restore selected object instances from the Recycle Bin for up to 30 days before permanently removing them from the internal Data table. The platform limits the total number of records it maintains for an organization based on the total number of user licenses for the organization.

When someone deletes a parent record involved in a master-detail relationship, Force.com automatically deletes all related child records, provided that doing so would not break any referential integrity rules in place. For example, when a user deletes a SalesOrder, Force.com automatically cascades the delete to dependent LineItems. Should someone subsequently restore a parent record from the Recycle Bin, the platform automatically restores all child object instances as well.

In contrast, when someone deletes a referenced parent record involved in a lookup relationship, Force.com automatically sets all dependent keys to null. If someone subsequently restores the parent record, Force.com automatically restores the previously nulled lookup relationships except for the relationships that were reassigned between the delete and restore operations.

The Recycle Bin also stores dropped fields and their data until an organization permanently deletes them or 45 days has elapsed, whichever happens first. Until that time, the entire field and all its data is available for restoration.

**Data Definition Processing**

Certain types of modifications to the definition of an object require more than simple UDD metadata updates. In such cases, Force.com uses efficient mechanisms that help reduce the overall performance impact on the platform’s multitenant applications.

For example, consider what happens behind the scenes when someone modifies a column’s datatype from picklist to text. Force.com first allocates a new slot for the column’s data, bulk copies the picklist labels associated with current values, and then updates the column’s metadata so that it points to the new slot. While all of this happens, access to data is normal and applications continue to function without any noticeable impact.

As another example, consider what happens when someone adds a roll-up summary field to a table. In this case, the Force.com asynchronously calculates initial summaries...
in the background using an efficient bulk operation. While the background calculation is happening, users that view the new field receive an indication that the Force.com Platform is currently calculating the field’s value.

**Internal Query Optimizations**

Most modern database systems determine optimal query execution plans by employing a cost-based query optimizer that considers relevant statistics about target table and index data. However, conventional cost-based optimizer statistics are designed for single-tenant applications and fail to account for the data access characteristics of any given user executing a query in a multitenant environment. For example, a given query that targets an object (table) with a large volume of data would most likely execute more efficiently using different execution plans for users with high visibility (a manager that can see all object instances) versus users with low visibility (sales people that can only see rows related to themselves).

To provide sufficient statistics for determining optimal query execution plans in a multitenant platform, Force.com maintains a complete set of optimizer statistics (tenant-, group-, and user-level) for each virtual multitenant object. Statistics reflect the number of rows that a particular query can potentially access, carefully considering overall tenant-specific object statistics (total number of rows owned by the tenant as a whole, etc.) as well as more granular statistics (the number of rows that a specific privilege group or end user can potentially access, etc.).

Force.com also maintains other types of statistics that prove helpful with particular queries. For example, the platform maintains statistics for all custom indexes to reveal the total number of non-null and unique values in the corresponding field, and histograms for picklist fields that reveal the cardinality of each list value.

When existing statistics are not in place or are not considered helpful, Force.com’s optimizer has a few different strategies it uses to help build reasonably optimal queries. For example, when a query filters on the Name field of an object, the optimizer can use the FallbackIndex pivot table to efficiently find requested object instances. In other scenarios, the optimizer will dynamically generate missing statistics at runtime. Used in tandem with optimizer statistics, Force.com's optimizer also relies on internal security related tables (Groups, Members, GroupBlowout, and CustomShare) that maintain information about the security domains of platform users, including a given user’s group memberships and custom access rights for objects.

---

**Figure 11:** When a request for data happens, Force.com executes pre-queries, the results of which the platform’s multitenant-aware query optimizer uses to build and execute optimal database queries.

The flow diagram in Figure 11 illustrates what happens when Force.com intercepts a request for data that is in one of the large heap tables such as Data. The request might originate from any number of sources, such as a page request from an Application Framework application, a Web services API call, or an Apex script. First, the platform executes “pre-queries” that consider the multitenant-aware statistics. Then, considering the results returned by the pre-queries, the platform builds an optimal database query for execution in the specific setting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Query Selectivity Measurements</th>
<th>Write final database access query, forcing...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>User</strong></td>
<td><strong>Filter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Table 1 shows, Force.com can execute the same query four different ways, depending on who submits the query and the selectivity of the query’s filter conditions.

**Force.com Full-Text Search Engine**

Web-based application users have come to expect an interactive search capability to scan
the entire or a selected scope of an application’s data, return ranked results that are up to date, and do all this with sub-second response times. To provide such robust functionality for platform applications, Force.com uses an architecture based on an external search engine, as depicted in Figure 12.

As applications update data in text fields (CLOBs, Name, etc.), a pool of platform background processes called indexing servers are responsible for asynchronously updating corresponding indexes, which the search engine maintains outside the core database. To optimize the indexing process, Force.com synchronously copies modified chunks of text data to an internal “to-be-indexed” table as transactions commit, thus providing a relatively small data source that minimizes the amount of data that indexing servers must read from disk. The search engine automatically maintains separate indexes for each organization (tenant). Depending on the current load and utilization of indexing servers, text index updates may noticeably lag behind actual transactions. To avoid unexpected search results originating from stale indexes, Force.com also maintains an MRU cache of recently updated objects that the platform’s application servers consider when materializing full-text search results. The platform maintains MRU caches on a per-user and per-organization basis to efficiently support possible search scopes.

Figure 12: Force.com uses an external search engine to provide fast text searches for multitenant applications.

Force.com optimizes the ranking of records within search results using several different methods. For example, the system considers the security domain of the user performing a search and weighs heavier those objects to which the current user has access. The system can also consider the modification history of a particular object, and rank more actively updated objects ahead of those that are relatively static. The user can choose to weight search results as desired, for example, placing more emphasis on recently modified objects.

**Apex**

Apex is a strongly typed, object-oriented procedural programming language that developers can use to declare program variables and constants and execute traditional flow control statements (if-else, loops, etc.), data manipulation operations (insert, update, upsert, delete), and transaction control operations (setSavepoint, rollback) on behalf of Force.com applications. Apex is similar in many respects to Java. Developers can build Apex routines that add custom business logic to most application events, including button clicks, updates to data, Web service requests, custom batch services, and others.

Developers can build Apex programs in two different forms: as an anonymous standalone script that is executed on demand, or as a trigger that automatically executes before or after a specific database manipulation event occurs (insert, update, delete, or undelete). In either form, Force.com compiles Apex code and stores it as metadata in the UDD. When an Apex routine is called for the first time by someone in an organization, Force.com’s runtime interpreter loads the compiled version of the program into an MRU cache for that organization. Thereafter, when any user from the same organization requires use of the same routine, Force.com can save memory and avoid the overhead of recompiling the program again by sharing the ready-to-run program that is already in memory.

Apex is much more than “just another procedural language.” Apex is an integral Force.com component that helps the platform deliver reliable multitenant applications. For example, Force.com automatically validates all embedded Sforce Object Query Language (SOQL) and Sforce Object Search Language (SOSL) statements within an Apex class to prevent code that would otherwise fail at runtime. The platform then maintains corresponding object dependency information for valid Apex classes and uses this information to prevent changes to metadata that would otherwise break dependent applications.

Many Apex standard classes and system static methods provide simple interfaces to underlying platform features. For example, the system static DML methods such as insert,
update, and delete have a simple Boolean parameter that developers can use to indicate the desired bulk processing option (all or nothing, or partial save); these methods also return a result object that the calling routine can read to determine which records were unsuccessfully processed and why. Other examples of the direct ties between Apex and Force.com platform features include the built-in Apex email classes, HTTP (RESTful) services classes, and XmlStream classes, just to name a few.

To prevent malicious or unintentional monopolization of shared, multitenant platform resources, Force.com has an extensive set of governors and resource limits associated with Apex code execution. For example, Force.com closely monitors the execution of an Apex script and limits how much CPU time it can use, how much memory it can consume, how many queries and DML statements it can execute, how many math calculations it can perform, how many outbound Web service calls it can make, and much more. Individual queries that the platform’s optimizer regards as too expensive to execute throw a runtime exception to the caller. Although such limits might sound somewhat restrictive, they are necessary to protect the overall scalability and performance of the shared platform for all concerned applications. In the long term, these measures help to promote better coding techniques among platform developers and create a better experience for everyone. For example, a developer that initially tries to code a loop that inefficiently updates a thousand rows one row at a time will receive runtime exceptions due to resource limits and then begin using Force.com’s efficient bulk processing API calls.

To further avoid potential platform problems introduced by poorly written applications, the deployment of a new production application is a process that is strictly managed. Before an organization can transition a new custom application from development to production status, salesforce.com requires unit tests that validate the functionality of the application’s Apex routines. Submitted unit tests must cover no less than 75 percent of the application’s source code. Salesforce.com executes submitted unit tests in the Force.com Sandbox environment to ascertain if the application will adversely affect the performance and scalability of the multitenant population at large. The results of an individual unit test indicate basic information such as the total number of lines executed as well as specific information about the code that was not executed by the test.

Once an application is certified for production by salesforce.com, the deployment process for the application consists of a single transaction that copies all the application’s metadata into a production Force.com instance and reruns the corresponding unit tests. If any part of the process fails, Force.com simply rolls back the transaction and returns exceptions to help troubleshoot the problem.

**Note:** Salesforce.com reruns the unit tests for every application with each development release of the platform to pro-actively learn whether new platform features and enhancements break any existing applications.

After a production application is live, Force.com’s built-in performance profiler automatically analyzes and provides associated feedback to administrators. Performance analysis reports include information about slow queries, data manipulations, and sub-routines that developers can review and use to tune application functionality. The platform also logs and returns information about runtime exceptions to administrators to help debug their applications.

**Historical Statistics**

Years of experience have transformed Force.com into an extremely fast, scalable, and reliable multitenant Internet application platform. As an illustration of Force.com’s proven capability to support Internet-scale applications, consider Figure 13. Specifically notice that, over time, average page response time has decreased or held steady (a measure of performance) while average transaction volume has concurrently increased (a measure of scalability).

![Figure 13: Platform performance and scalability have consistently improved each year as Force.com matures and evolves.](image)

For more platform data such as planned maintenance, historical information on transaction volume and speed, etc., visit trust.salesforce.com, the Force.com community’s home for real-time information about system performance and security.
Conclusions
Platform as a service (PaaS) and software as a service (SaaS) are contemporary software application development and delivery models that an increasing number of organizations are using to improve their time to market, reduce capital expenditures, and improve overall competitiveness in a challenging global economy. Internet-based, shared computing platforms are attractive because they let businesses quickly access hosted, managed software assets on demand and altogether avoid the costs and complexity associated with the purchase, installation, configuration, and ongoing maintenance of an on-premises data center and dedicated hardware, software, and accompanying administrative staff.

The most successful on-demand SaaS/PaaS company at the forefront of these paradigm shifts is salesforce.com, which recently received the distinction of being the first on-demand software vendor to be added to the S&P 500 Index. Stepping out from underneath the enormously successful salesforce.com CRM SaaS application, Force.com is a generalized Internet application development and delivery platform on which individual enterprises and service providers have built all types of custom business applications, including supply chain management, billing, accounting, compliance tracking, human resource management, and claims processing applications. The platform's metadata-driven architecture enables anyone to efficiently build and deliver sophisticated, customizable, mission-critical, Internet-scale multitenant applications. Using standards-based Web service APIs and native platform development tools, Force.com developers can easily build all components of a Web-based application, including the application’s data model (tables, relationships, etc.), user interface (data entry forms, reports, etc.), business logic (workflows, validations, etc.), integrations with other applications, and more.

Over the past 10 years, salesforce.com engineers have optimized all layers of the Force.com platform for multitenancy, with features that let the platform deliver unprecedented Internet scalability to the height of 170 million transactions daily. Platform features such as the bulk data processing API, the Apex programming language, an external full-text search engine, and its unique query optimizer help make multitenant platform applications highly efficient and scalable with little or no thought from developers.

Salesforce.com’s managed approach for the deployment of production applications ensures top-notch performance, scalability, and reliability for all dependent applications. Additionally, salesforce.com continually monitors and gathers operational information from Force.com applications to help drive incremental improvements and new platform features that immediately benefit existing and new applications.

For More Information
Contact your account executive to learn how we can help you accelerate your SaaS success.